

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/991,080	11/16/2001	Susan V. Cannon-Carlson	JB01356	4744
24265	7590 07/05/2005		EXAM	INER
	G-PLOUGH CORPOR	PARKIN, JEFFREY S		
	EPARTMENT (K-6-1, 1 OPING HILL ROAD	990)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KENILWOR	TH, NJ 07033-0530		1648	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/991,080	CANNON-CARLSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati		the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayone if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply tition. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>16 November 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)						
3) Since this application is in condition for	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.		,				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	uments have been received in App	plication No.				
	ne priority documents have been re					
application from the International						
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	4) Tatonious Sun	nmany (PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date see Office action.	o) □ otilet					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No.

Serial No.: 09/991,080 Docket No.: JB01356
Applicants: Cannon-Carlson, S. V., et al. Filing Date: 11/16/2001

Detailed Office Action

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-19 are pending in the instant application.

37 C.F.R. § 1.84

The drawings (e.g., Figures 4, 5, 10, 11, and 12) are objected to because they are illegible. Corrected drawing sheets, in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet "or "New Sheet "pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

37 C.F.R. § 1.98

The information disclosure statements filed 24 July, 2002, 16 May, 2003, and 13 December, 2004, have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered.

35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Riordan et al. (1997). O'Riordan and colleagues disclose a method for the purification of adenoviruses from contaminants in a sample that employs a hydroxyapatite chromatographic medium. The buffer utilized contained glycerol. Thus, this teaching meets all of the claimed limitations.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a),

the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 2-10, 12, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Riordan et al. (1997). O'Riordan and colleagues disclose a method for the purification of adenoviruses from contaminants in a sample that employs a hydroxyapatite chromatographic medium. The claims specify a number of parameters that simply correspond to the sodium chloride concentration or degree of purification. Absent evidence to the contrary, it is the examiner's contention that the determination of those optimal parameters simply represents routine experimentation. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ different buffers and salt concentrations to identify the optimum purification scheme.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Riordan et al. (1997) in view of Shabram et al. (1996). As previously set forth, O'Riordan and colleagues disclose a method for the purification of adenoviruses from contaminants in a sample that employs a hydroxyapatite chromatographic medium. This teaching does not disclose the purification of adenovirus ACN53 or an adenovirus carrying a p53 or p21 gene. Shabram and associates disclose various recombinant adenoviruses (e.g., ACN53) and therapeutic genes (e.g., p53, p21) that are suitable for gene therapy including. Therefore, it would have been prima facie

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to purify ACN53 adenoviruses carrying a therapuetic gene such as p53 or p21.

Additional Prior Art

The following prior art, which was not relied upon in the office action, is considered germane to applicant's disclosure:

- Tsuru, S., et al., 1991, "Adsorption and preparation of human viruses using hydroxyapatite column.", BioMed. Mater. Engin. 1:1-5.
- Shabram, P. W., et al., 17 November 1998, "Method of Purification of Viral Vectors.", U.S. Patent No. 5,837,520.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0908. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 10:30 AM to 9:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel, can be reached at (571) 272-0902. Direct general status inquiries to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist at (571) 272-1600. Formal communications may be submitted through the official facsimile number which is (703) 872-9306. Hand-carried formal communications should be directed toward the customer window located in Crystal Plaza Two, 2011 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA. Applicants are directed toward the O.G. Notice for further guidance. 1280 O.G. 681. Informal communications may be submitted to the Examiner's RightFAX account at (571) 273-0908.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1648

25 June, 2005